(3)

SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P.NO. 4223 /2005

PETITIONER

Kumari Sweta Upadhya daughter of Baijnath Upadhya aged about 19 years Shiksha-Karmi Varg 3 Primary School Boda Post Fasterpur Tahsil Mungeli Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

F.R. No. 41810 5
Presented by Shri Dinesh RK Tiwari
dated 18/8/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Collector Bilaspur C.G.
2. C.E.O. Janpad Panchayat Mungeli Distt Mungeli Distt Bilaspur
3. State of Chhattisgarh Chhattisgarh in India In World.

31/08/05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT ORDER AND DIRECTION

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(35)

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 4223 **सन् 200** 5

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	05/10/2005: - Mr. Dinesh Tiwari learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava, learned Panel Lawyer, for the State of Chhattisgarh. Heard. The petitioner challenges a show cause notice dated 10/08/2005 whereby the Collector, Bilaspur issued a show cause notice to the petitioner as to why her appointment to the post of Shiksha Karmi, Grade-III be not cancelled on the ground that several irregularities were found committed in the examination. The petitioner challenges show cause notice before this Court before replying to the Collector/respondent No.1. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, I am of the opinion that the petitioner ought to have replied to the show cause before coming to this Court. This case is pre-mature at this stage. When it has been found on enquiry by the Collector/respondent No.1 that several irregularities were committed and pursuant to that a show cause notice was issued to the petitioner as to why her appointment to the post of Shiksha Karmi, Grade-III be not cancelled. There is no merit in this case and as such needs no interference by this Court in exercise of its extra ordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed. No order as to cost. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Brajesh